## 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Center Grants Materials for Grant Reviewers 2009

#### **Purpose:**

21<sup>st</sup> CCLC programs will provide communities the ability to develop or expand on out-of-school-time (OST) programs. These programs will give students opportunities for academic enrichment to help meet the state and local standards in core subjects, especially math and reading. The program should also provide a broad array of additional services including youth development activities, drug and violence prevention programs, counseling programs, and art, music, recreation, technology education and character education programs that are designed to reinforce and complement the regular academic program. Other opportunities offered might include community service, physical activities, and cultural activities. The 21<sup>st</sup> CCLCs will also benefit the families of eligible students by providing opportunities for literacy and related educational development.

The programs should be of highest possible quality and appropriately tailored to address the needs of the students and their families. Programming used should be based on good research that shows it effectively helps children succeed in school. Programs should do a good job of delivering exciting, engaging, and purposeful enrichment activities.

Programs must be located in public schools or other similarly accessible facilities. They must provide a safe environment for students when schools are not in session.

The 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC program provides grants to programs that serve students that attend high poverty schools (40% or higher free and reduced lunches).

#### **SOME POINTS TO KEEP IN MIND:**

- The rating sheets and comments will be shared with applicants (if requested) to assist them in applying for future funding. Please add comments, especially in cases where the score was very high or very low.
- Can you easily coordinate the information in the abstract with the programming description and the budget?
- THE INFORMATION YOU WILL BE READING AND THE SCORING DECISIONS MADE AT THIS MEETING MUST REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL.

### 2009 SD 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Center Grant Application Rating Form

Reviewer ID Applicant
Will the applicant be serving schools in school improvement? Yes No Is the applicant submitting an application in which there is a joint effort demonstrated between a qualifying Local Education Agency (LEA) and at least one other organization or is this not possible in this instance because of geographic proximity? Yes No
General Check-off: (ALL SECTIONS REQUIRED.)
Cover page with complete information about applicant
Table of contents – one page
Program summary
Site list and demographics on each site (must show that 40 percent of students served qualify for free and reduced meals, or if several districts are combined, a majority (more than 50 percent) of the students served must qualify for free and reduced meals)
Abstract
Program narrative – no more than 20 pages, double spaced
Budget information/summary sheet must be filled out completely a. Must be for five years b. Must be for at least \$50,000 per year (disqualified if for less) c. Must not be for more than \$150,000 per year
Budget narrative – a brief description of categorized distribution of dollars
Attachment A - Signed assurances
Attachment B - Financial management questionnaire
Attachment C - Affirmation of Consultation with Private School Officials (If applicable)
Attachment D - GEPA 427 information
Attachment E - Collaboration and Partnership agreements
Appendix (Optional and limited)
SCORE:         Criterion 1 (10)          Criterion 2 (40)          Criterion 3 (20)          Criterion 4 (20)          Criterion 5 (10)

TOTAL SCORE FOR GRANT APPLICATION (Possible 100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

#### **CRITERION 1 – NEED FOR PROJECT (10 points)**

In scoring this area consider if the applicant cited **convincing** evidence that community students are at risk of educational failure with data in fields such as:

- number of students at 40% or higher free and reduced lunch
- number of low performing students
- number of seriously impaired students
- dropout rate
- literacy rate
- educational level
- poverty rate
- other

Need(s) listed:		Need addressed:
. ,		
Minimal/Weak 1 2 3	Adequate 4 5 6 7	Extensive/Strong 8 9 10

Possible points – 10 \_\_\_\_\_\_

### **CRITERION 2 – QUALITY OF PROJECT DESIGN (40 points)**

(This criterion may also be reviewed by representative student groups.) The scoring in this criterion is to address five areas:

A.	(10 points) To what extent are the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by
	the proposed project clearly specified and measurable?

/Iinimal/We	eak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong	
1 2 3		4 5 6 7	8 9 10	
			sign of the proposed project appritions or other identified needs?	ropria
Minimal/V	Weak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong	
1 2 3		4 5 6 7	8 9 10	
	challenging, descriptions	and meaningful experience of typical after-school and/o	osed program design provide an inter- for the young people involved (note r summer day experiences for studer	e
Minimal/V	Weak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong	
	(5 points)	-	n place/proposed regarding areas suc	
D.	(5 points) attendance, l	Γο what extent are policies in		
D.	(5 points) attendance, l	Γο what extent are policies in nandling of injuries, disciplin	n place/proposed regarding areas suche, communication with parents, etc.	
D.  Minimal  1 2  E.	(5 points) Tattendance, h	Γο what extent are policies in nandling of injuries, disciplin Adequate	n place/proposed regarding areas suche, communication with parents, etc.  Extensive/Strong	
D.  Minimal  1 2  E.	(5 points) To attendance, he weak  (5 points) To improve, and	Γο what extent are policies in nandling of injuries, disciplin Adequate  3  o what extent will the evaluate	n place/proposed regarding areas suche, communication with parents, etc.  Extensive/Strong  4 5	
D.  Minimal  1 2  E.	(5 points) Tattendance, laweak  (5 points) To improve, and laweak	To what extent are policies in nandling of injuries, disciplin Adequate  3 o what extent will the evaluate strengthen the program?	Extensive/Strong  4 5  tion of the program be used to refine	

Possible points - 40 \_\_\_\_\_

## **CRITERION 3 – ADEQUACY OF RESOURCES (20 points)**

Consider the fo	ollowing while scoring this section. Has the applicant considered the following?
• Is the	re a credible amount of support for things such as:
0	facilities
0	personnel
0	equipment
0	supplies
0	funding staff
0	transportation
0	dissemination of information, etc.
• Are th	e costs reasonable for the numbers of persons served, anticipated results, and benefits?
• If fees	are to be charged, how will they be used? Is there a plan for those who cannot afford it?
• If to f	und an existing program will funds supplement and not supplant?
	Idren anticipated to be served annually  ney requested per year from grant
Minimal/Weak	Adequate Extensive/Strong
1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
Comments	

Possible points – 20

# CRITERION 4 – QUALITY OF MANAGEMENT PLAN (20 points)

The scoring in this criterion is to address two areas:

- **A.** (20 points) Is there information to show that the management plan is adequate to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing the project tasks?
  - Is there a detailed budget and budget narrative?
  - Do the costs seem appropriate for the number of children involved and the programming prescribed?
  - Are staffing needs, qualifications and responsibilities clearly defined? Is a list of qualifications/resume for the project director included?
  - What is the type, quality, and quantity of initial and ongoing staff training and how will that training be provided and funded? (\$2,000 minimum required for professional development)
- **B.** Is there a consideration for sustainability of the program after the federal funding ceases?
- **C.** Has the applicant provided required and approved financial documentation? (See check off on page 2) This must include:
  - budget
  - budget narrative
  - financial management questionnaire

Minimal/Weak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong	
1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	15 16 17 18 19 20	
Comments:			
		Possible points – 20 _	

#### **CRITERION 5 – COOPERATION (10 points)**

Does the application demonstrate cooperation and participation with other entities in the community and/or coordination of state and federal funds?

A. (7 points) Has the applicant demonstrated that they have support of the appropriate school-day programs and school management teams from LEAs (Local Education Agency) that serve the children targeted in this grant? (Collaboration and Partnership agreements MUST be present for each school that the targeted children are attending.)

Minimal/Weak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong
1 2	3 4 5	6 7

B. (3 points) To what extent will the proposed project establish linkages with other appropriate agencies and organizations providing services to the target population? (Collaboration and Partnership agreements are present for each of the partners listed.)

Minimal/Weak	Adequate	Extensive/Strong	
1	2	3	
Commenter			
Comments:			

Possible points - 10 \_\_\_\_\_